arXiv:1612.05186v1 [math.NT] 15 Dec 2016

ROBIN'S INEQUALITY FOR NEW FAMILIES OF INTEGERS

Alexander Hertlein [1]
University of Munich
alexander.hertlein@campus.lmu.de

Received: , Revised: , Accepted: , Published:

Abstract

Robin's criterion states that the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is true if and only if Robin's inequality $\sigma(n) := \sum_{p|n} p < e^{\gamma} n \log\log n$ is satisfied for $n > 5040$, where γ denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We show that if the 2-adic order of n is big enough in comparison to the odd part of n then Robin's inequality is satisfied. We also show that if an positive integer n satisfies either $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$, $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$,$\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$, $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$ then Robin's inequality is satisfied, where $\nu_p(n)$ is the p-adic order of n. In the end we show that $\sigma(n)/n < 1.0000005645 e^{\gamma} \log\log n$ holds unconditionally for $n > 5040$.

1. Introduction

Let n be an integer satisfying $\sigma(n)/n < e^{\gamma} \log\log n$. This inequality is the *Robin's inequality*. Robin [8] proved that if RH is true, then his inequality holds for every integer $n > 5040$. So far it is been proven unconditionally for families of integers that are

- odd > 9 [5]
- square-free > 30 [5]
- a sum of two squares and > 720 [3]
- not divisible by the fifth power of a prime [5]
- not divisible by the seventh power of a prime [9]
- not divisible by the eleventh power of a prime [4]

This paper extends Robin's inequality to new families of integers. We first provide a modified algorithm by Akbary et al. [1] to find the exceptions to the inequality

[1] Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 11Y35,11A25

$n/\varphi(n) < 1771561/1771560e^{\gamma}\log\log n$. With this we then show that if n has a 2-adic order smaller or equal 19 or satisfies either $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$ then Robin's inequality holds. Then we show that if the 2-adic order n is big enough to its odd part, then Robin's inequality is also true and in the end we find that $\sigma(n)/n < 1.0000005645e^{\gamma}\log\log n$ holds unconditionally.

2. Theorems

We first want to show the case where we know that the 2-adic order of n is lower or equal 19.

Theorem 1. *Then Robin's inequality holds for $n > 5040$ when $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$.*

Now using the result from Theorem 1 we also find that the 2-adic order can also satisfy the following inequality

Theorem 2. *For Robin's inequality is satisfied if n satisfies*

$$\nu_2(n) > \left\lceil \frac{1}{\log 2}((\log 2^{-\nu_2(n)+19}n)^{\frac{1048576}{1048575}} - \log 2^{-\nu_2(n)}n) \right\rceil \tag{1}$$

We then go on to partially prove a result Choie et. al [5].

Theorem 3. *Consider an integer n which satisfies one of the following of the p-adic orders $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$ then Robin's inequality holds for $n > 5040$.*

As the theorems above are specialized to Robin's theorem alone, an improved unconditional upper bound of $\sigma(n)/n$ is also provided

Theorem 4. *The following inequality is true for all $n > 5040$*

$$\sigma(n)/n < 1.0000005645e^{\gamma}\log\log n \tag{2}$$

3. Proofs

Lemma 1. *Let $\prod_{p|n} p_i^{a_i}$ be the prime composition of n and $\varphi(n)$ be Euler's totient function, then we have*

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^{1+a_i}}\right) \tag{3}$$

Proof. The proof can be found at [7] Lemma 2. □

We now take a look at a way to establish a new upper bound for $n/\varphi(n)$ for $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$. First we provide an algorithm which is derived from Akbary et al. [1]. They developed an algorithm that calculates the exceptions to the following inequality where $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of n

$$f(n) = \prod_{\substack{p \leq p_{\omega}(n) \\ p \ prime}} \frac{p}{p-1} < e^{\gamma}(1+\epsilon)\log\log n \tag{4}$$

For an integer n and an integer $\beta \geq \omega(n) \geq 2$ they showed that if

$$n > n_{\beta} = \exp\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)e^{\gamma}} \prod_{p \leq p_{\beta}} \frac{p}{p-1}\right)\right) \tag{5}$$

then inequality (4) is satisfied.
According to Lemma 3.4 in [1] we only have to find the first β for a given ϵ for which $\prod_{p \leq p_{\beta}} p < n_{\beta}$ does not hold to get to the highest possible exception of (4). This is β_{max} and $n_{\beta_{max}}$. We can now describe the modified algorithm which is true by Lemma 3.4 of [1].

Algorithm 1 Exceptions to $f(n) < e^{\gamma}(1+\epsilon)\log\log n$

Require: $0 < \epsilon < 1$
Ensure: All exceptions to the inequality.
  while $\prod_{p \leq p_{\beta}} p < n_{\beta}$ **do**
    $\beta \to \beta + 1$
  end while
  $\beta_{max} \to \beta$
  $n_{\beta_{max}} \to n_{\beta}$
  Calculate n_{α} for $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$
  For any $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, find all integers with α distinct prime divisors not exceeding n_{α} and write them in a file.

We can now go on to find an upper bound for $n/\varphi(n)$.

Lemma 2. *The inequality*

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < \frac{1771561}{1771560} e^{\gamma}\log\log n \tag{6}$$

is satisfied for all $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$.

Proof. On noting that

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \leq \prod_{p \leq p_\beta} \frac{p}{p-1} < e^\gamma (1+\epsilon) \log\log n \tag{7}$$

we can run the algorithm from Lemma 4 with $\epsilon = 1/1771560$ to get the desired RHS of (7) match the RHS of (6). The results for β_{max} and $n_{\beta_{max}}$ are

$$\beta_{max} = 919356256 \qquad n_{\beta_{max}} < e^{e^{23.762143}}$$

We note that the exponent of $n_{\beta_{max}}$ is numerically calculated with Mathematica to 200 digits precision and has been rounded down to 8 digits. The algorithm guarantees that all possible exceptions to inequality (4) are below $n_{\beta_{max}}$ so we conclude that for all $n > e^{e^{23.762143}} > n_{\beta_{max}}$ the inequality (6) is also satisfied. □

Lemma 3. *Robin's inequality is true for all* $5040 < n \leq 10^{10^{10}}$.

Proof. Robin showed in [8], Prop. 1, p. 192 that if Robin's inequality is true on consecutive colossally abundant numbers n_1 and n_2 then it is also true for all $n \in [n_1, n_2]$. By definition an integer n is colossally abundant when if there exists a positive ϵ for which $\sigma(n)/n^{1+\epsilon} \geq \sigma(k)/k^{1+\epsilon}$ for all $k > 1$. Briggs [2] showed that Robin's inequality holds for all colossally abundant numbers between 5040 and $10^{10^{10}}$ so we the conclude that Robin's inequality is also satisfied for all integers $5040 < n < 10^{10^{10}}$. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. We now let n have a 2-adic order of $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$. From Lemma 1 we note that

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^{1+\nu_p(n)}}\right) \leq \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+\nu_2(n)}}\right) \tag{8}$$

We only need to look at the case where $\nu_2(n) = 19$ since the weaker cases follow because

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+1}}\right) < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+2}}\right) < ... < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+19}}\right)$$

With Lemma 2 we have for $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+19}}\right) = \frac{1048575}{1048576} \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < \frac{1048575}{1048576} \frac{1771561}{1771560} e^\gamma \log\log n < e^\gamma \log\log n \tag{9}$$

By invoking Lemma 3 and noting that $e^{e^{23.762143}} < 10^{10^{10}}$ we then conclude that Robin's inequality is true for $n > 5040$ for $\nu_2(n) \leq 19$. □

Corollary 1. *For all odd positive integers c the following inequality is true*

$$\frac{\sigma(c)}{c} < \frac{524288}{1048575} e^{\gamma} \log\log 2^{19} c \tag{10}$$

Proof. Take a positive integer n with $\nu_2(n) = 19$ to get $n = 2^{19}c$ where c is odd. Then using the multiplicative property of the divisor function and our result from Theorem 1 we have

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{\sigma(2^{19}c)}{2^{19}c} = \frac{1048575}{524288}\frac{\sigma(c)}{c} < e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^{19}c \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sigma(c)}{c} < \frac{524288}{1048575} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^{19}c \tag{11}$$

□

With this Corollary we have everything to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. We first define $n = 2^k c$ where $c = 2^{-\nu_2(n)}n$ is the odd part of n and $k = \nu_2(n)$. We can then use the multiplicative property of the divisor sum to express Robin's inequality differently

$$\frac{\sigma(x)}{x} = \frac{\sigma(2^k \cdot c)}{2^k \cdot c} = \frac{\sigma(2^k)}{2^k}\frac{\sigma(c)}{c} = \left(2 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)\frac{\sigma(c)}{c} < e^{\gamma}\log\log n = e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^k c$$

By bringing $\left(2 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)$ to the right we then take $\frac{1}{2}$ as a lower bound for the RHS

$$\frac{\sigma(c)}{c} < \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^k c < \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{2^k}} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^k c$$

We now use the result from Corollary 1 that if k is big enough we find that the inequality

$$\frac{\sigma(c)}{c} < \frac{524288}{1048575} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^{19} c < \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^k c$$

holds. Rearranging the inequality gives the smallest k for which this is the case

$$\frac{524288}{1048575} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^{19} c < \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma}\log\log 2^k c \Leftrightarrow \frac{1048576}{1048575}\log\log 2^{19} c < \log\log 2^k c \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(\log 2^{19} c)^{\frac{1048576}{1048575}} < \log 2^k c \Leftrightarrow (\log 2^{19} c)^{\frac{1048576}{1048575}} < \log c + \log 2^k \Leftrightarrow (\log 2^{19} c)^{\frac{1048576}{1048575}} - \log c < k \log 2$$

The lower bound for k then follows

$$k > \left\lceil \frac{1}{\log 2}\left((\log 2^{19} c)^{\frac{1048576}{1048575}} - \log c\right)\right\rceil$$

Now using the definition of c and k gives the final result

$$\nu_2(n) > \left\lceil \frac{1}{\log 2}\left((\log 2^{-\nu_2(n)+19} n)^{\frac{1048576}{1048575}} - \log 2^{-\nu_2(n)} n\right)\right\rceil$$

□

Our proof of theorem 3 is now done with other p-adic orders to partially prove theorem 6 of [5].

Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. We now consider n with a 11-adic order of $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$. The cases for the 3-adic, 5-adic or 7-adic order follow directly since

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{5^{1+7}}\right) < \left(1-\frac{1}{7^{1+6}}\right) < \left(1-\frac{1}{3^{1+12}}\right) < \left(1-\frac{1}{11^{1+5}}\right)$$

With Lemma 2 we then have for $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq \frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\left(1-\frac{1}{11^{1+5}}\right) = \frac{1771560}{1771561}\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < \frac{1771560}{1771561}\frac{1771561}{1771560}e^{\gamma}\log\log n = e^{\gamma}\log\log n \tag{12}$$

By invoking Lemma 3 and noting that $e^{e^{23.762143}} < 10^{10^{10}}$ we then conclude that Robin's inequality is true for $n > 5040$ where one of the p-adic orders of n satisfy $\nu_3(n) \leq 12$, $\nu_5(n) \leq 7$, $\nu_7(n) \leq 6$ or $\nu_{11}(n) \leq 5$. □

With these results we can now also improve the unconditional bound for $\sigma(n)/n$ from Akbary et al. [1]

Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. First note that $1771561/1771560 = 1.000000564474248685...$
Then similar to Theorem 1, we have with Lemma 2 for $n > e^{e^{23.762143}}$

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < \frac{1771561}{1771560}e^{\gamma}\log\log n < 1.0000005645e^{\gamma}\log\log n \tag{13}$$

On invoking Lemma 3 we then find that the inequality above holds unconditionally for $n > 5040$. □

4. Conclusion

This paper provides a further progress on showing Robin's inequality for all $n > 5040$ because it only uses the 2-adic order of n to classify whether Robin's inequality is satisfied or not. If it is below 20 or above the threshold found in Theorem 2 then Robin's inequality is now guaranteed to be always satisfied. We also found a new unconditional bound for $\sigma(n)/n$ which does not involve any extra terms and improves the result of Akbary et al. [1].

5. Acknowledgement

The author thanks Felix Palm and Patrick Solé for very useful suggestions. All calculations were done with Mathematica 10 on a 6-core Xeon processor with 200 digit precision.

References

[1] A. Akbary, Z. Friggstad, R. Juricevic, Explicit upper bounds for $f(n) = \prod_{p_{\omega(n)}} \frac{p}{p-1}$, Contributions to Discrete Mathematics, Vol.2 no. 2, 153–160.

[2] K. Briggs. Abundant numbers and the Riemann hypothesis. Experiment. Math., 15(2):251– 256, 2006.

[3] W. D. Banks, D. Hart, P. Moree, C. W. Nevans, The Nicolas and Robin inequalities with sums of two squares, Monatsh. Math. 157, no. 4,(2009) 303–322.

[4] K, Broughan, T., Trudgian, Robin's inequality for 11-free integer, Integers 15 (2015), Paper No. A12, 5 pp.

[5] Y-J. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 19 (2007), no. 2, 357–372.

[6] S. Ramanujan, Highly composite numbers, The Ramanujan Journal, 1 (1997)119–153

[7] A. Grytczuk,Upper bound for sum of divisors function and Riemann Hypothesis, Tsukuba J. Math, Vol. 31 No.1,(2007) 67-75

[8] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 63(2) (1984) 187–213.

[9] P. Solé, M. Planat, The Robin inequality for $7-$free integers. Integers 12, no. 2,(2012) 301–309.